Itsenko — Cushing disease (hypercorticoidism) brings together a large group of signs and progressive symptoms which reflect the prolonged and excessive influence of glucocorticoids on the tissue. European population studies reported about 2–3 cases per 1 million inhabitants a year. The frequency of new cases of pituitary Cushing’s syndrome in the USA ranges from 0,7 to 2,4 cases per 1 million persons per year, that is from 200 to 700 new cases annually. In 90 % of cases of pituitary Cushing’s syndrome the source of corticotropin is pituitary microadenoma, in 5–7 % — macroadenoma, in other cases it is cell hyperplasia [6, 27].

The syndrome of endogenous hypercorticism was first described in 1912 by American physician Harvey Cushing, and in 1924, irrespective of him, by Odessa neurologist N.M. Itsenko [7].

Steroid osteoporosis that develops as a result of pathologic effect on bone of the excessive amount of endogenous glucocorticosteroids (GCS), appears in 95 %, and fractures of the spine — in 40 % of patients [3]. Osteoporosis causes a significant functional limitation of mobility of patients and in some cases — disability, and therefore is considered as one of the most important complications of this disease [16, 26].

Symptoms of endogenous hypercorticism are very specific and varied [1, 18]. At the study of patients with Itsenko — Cushing disease most frequently can be detected following clinical symptoms and syndromes: overweight (94 %), hypertension (60–94 %), systemic osteoporosis (30–80 %), impaired sexual function (85 %) trophic changes of the skin (stretch marks, dryness, acne and pustular lesions) and hypotrichosis (30–77 %), myopathy (75 %), defective carbohydrate metabolism/diabetes mellitus (30–70 %), infectious complications (20–70 %), nephrolithiasis/uro lithic illness (30 %) [6, 10]. A variety of clinical manifestations of Itsenko — Cushing disease complicates a timely diagnosis of it. According to the European Registry of patients with Cushing’s syndrome (ERCUSYN) the median of the diagnosis delay is 2 years [33]. A poor prognosis of Itsenko — Cushing disease is caused by involving to pathological process the major systems and organs, with the development of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular and infectious complications [24, 28, 29]. According to R. Feelders et al. [27], even amid remission, patients quality of life is greatly reduced. Progression of the disease leads to disability and reduce life expectancy. The risk of death in case of Itsenko — Cushing disease is in 2 times higher than that in the general population [30]. In the natural course of the disease (without treatment), 5-year survival rate is 50 %. According O. Dekkers et al. [31, 32], increased mortality of patients with Itsenko — Cushing disease is associated with the duration of excessive production of cortisol.

In children and adolescents early symptoms of hypercorticism are growth decelerates, due to the reduction or termination of synthesis of growth hormone, and delay skeletal differentiation; there is a difference between the passport and the bone age in 1–5 years. Steroid osteoporosis with bone demineralization and inhibition of protein matrix synthesis in any age refers to the most serious manifestations of hypercorticism. Expression of the process depends on the degree and duration of hypercorticism.

Glucocorticoid hormones have a direct and indirect influence on the development of bone structures. In direct action we understand the direct effect on cells of the skeletal system — osteoblasts and osteoclasts. The impact of GCS on bone formation can be divided into two phases: the fast and the slow one. The fast phase is associated with progressive bone resorption, and the slow — with continued negative effect on bone formation.
GCS reduce the number of osteoclasts in bone tissue and decrease their functional activity. GCS suppress the replication activity of cells-predecessors of osteoclasts, reducing the pool of cells that later could be differentiated into osteoclasts. In the presence of excess of GCS, cells-predecessors terminate their differentiation or turn later in adipocytes. Moreover, steroids have a negative effect on the already functional activity of mature cells. GCS suppress the synthesis by osteoclasts of the first type of collagen, the main substance of the extracellular bone matrix, thus reducing the amount of matrix for further mineralization. Steroid hormones stimulate apoptosis of osteoclasts and osteocytes, activating caspase-3. Thus, all of the above leads to a decreased number of osteoclasts and reduced bone formation.

GCS influence on osteoblasts. Under the action of hormones, increases the production of IL-6, which has a positive effect on the function of osteoclasts, and reduces production of interferon-beta, which has an opposite effect, besides steroids increase lifespan of osteoclasts and reduce their apoptosis.

GCS decrease the expression of IGF-1, which increases synthesis of the first type of collagen, reduce its degradation and activate apoptosis of osteoblasts [23]. Steroid hormones have an indirect influence on bone formation, as they are antagonists of vitamin D, inhibiting calcium absorption in the gastrointestinal tract and reducing the expression of specific calcium channels in the duodenum. GCS cause in intestinal cells disintegration of 1,25-tetrahydroxyvitamin D3, calcitriol, as they are antagonists of vitamin D, inhibiting and activate apoptosis of osteoblasts [23].

The development of dual energy X-ray absorbiometry (DXA or DEXA), which combines the ability to study axial and peripheral areas of the skeleton, high sensitivity and specificity, accuracy and low error of reproducibility, the minimum dose and speed of study. Since 1994, on the recommendation of the WHO experts the gold standard for diagnosis of osteoporosis consider Dual Energy X-Ray Absorbiometry (DXA or DEXA), which combines the ability to study axial and peripheral areas of the skeleton, high sensitivity and specificity, accuracy and low error of reproducibility, the minimum dose and speed of study. Many devices are equipped with «total body» program, that allows to determine the content of minerals throughout the skeleton, explore soft tissue (muscle and fat). The DXA method as a result of scan a certain areas of the skeleton is measured on two values: area of scanning surface (Area, cm²) and bone mineral content (BMC, g), with further calculation of one clinically significant parameter — projection bone mineral density (BMD) (BMD = BMC/Area, g/cm²). Since osteoporosis is not the same in different parts of the skeleton, it is necessary to use standard scanning program (research of standard body parts in standard position) for the lumbar, distal forearm, hip, and so on. Currently, the most widely used standard automatic software for evaluation of mineral density of the lumbar spine (in two projections) of the proximal femur, forearm and total body. Computer software of osteodensitometry contains the reference framework — normative indicators by gender and age. A special importance for researches in pediatric practice has the presence of a special pediatric program that allows to define DXA in children from the birth. DXA data is automatically compared with the standard, is calculated deviations of individual values from medieval norms (Z criterion), from peak bone mass in persons of corresponding sex (T-test) and determined the value of the standard deviation of the average indicators. The value of the standard deviation allows to quantitatively distinguish variants of the norm, osteopenia and osteoporosis [13, 23]. In pediatric, in contrast to adults, the diagnostic value has only Z criterion. This indicator depends on age. It is considered that the most informative is study of two areas of the skeleton, as it reduces probability of errors and the risk to «miss» the osteoporosis. The question of the study of the central or peripheral skeleton depends of the clinical task. It is believed that DXA measurement gives an answer to key clinical questions: what is the risk of fractures and how changes bone density with patients aging or during the therapy [11].

The development of quantitative computer tomography method allows spatial separation of cortical and trabecular bones and get volume instead of planar characteristics of it.
In addition, computer tomography excludes the projection imposition of adjacent bone structures and surrounding tissue, what increases the accuracy of measurement of bone mass. However, this method is expensive and gives a few more radiation exposure, which limits its use in pediatric patients [4].

In recent years is developing quantitative ultrasound densitometry that allows to evaluate bone status by speed of passage of the ultrasonic waves through the bone (SOS — Speed Of Sound) and the magnitude of its attenuation in bone (BUA — Broadband Ultrasound Attenuation). Named figures reflect the elasticity, hardness and strength of bone. However, the comparative evaluation of ultrasound and X-ray densitometry gives a conflicting results. It is believed that both methods are able to accurately assess bone mass and risk of fracture [14, 22, 25]. According to other researchers, ultrasound densitometry opportunities are limited. However, there are many supporters of this method. They believe that quantitative ultrasound is promising. There have been its simplicity and convenience for screening. The positive qualities of ultrasound densitometry includes the absence of radial load, speed of the research, greater accuracy, good reproducibility and portability of the method, that can be used in pediatric patients, including for population studies [9, 20, 22].

By employing the methods of «bone densitometry» can be evaluated the basic parameters of bone strength, but these methods do not give any information about the level of bone metabolism.

Bone markers are the predictors of bone loss, skeletal bones fractures and can be used for monitoring of antosteoporosis therapy. Its proved that biochemical markers allows on early stage to diagnose signs of bone loss and thereby to predict risk of fractures; it was discovered informative of markers to assess the effectiveness of various methods of osteoporosis prevention. By this biochemical indices can be evaluated the effectiveness of therapy, the adequacy of dosage and its tolerability [5, 8, 21]. Especially productive are bone markers for assessing the effectiveness of therapy in a comparatively short period of time when densitometric studies are not informative yet (believe that repeated densitometric studies need to perform no more frequently than once a year). The combination of densitometry studies and biochemical markers of bone metabolism allows to receive more information about the risk of osteoporosis. To assess the effectiveness of the therapy is recommended to examine biochemical markers every three months after starting treatment. In the study of biochemical markers is necessary to take into account factors that affect the reproducibility of results: diet, storage conditions of the material, biological factors (circadian and seasonal fluctuations, menstrual cycle, age, gender, presence of comorbidities, etc.). It was found the interrelation between biochemical markers of bone metabolism with bone mineral density and linear growth.

Among the biochemical markers of bone metabolism were distinguished:

— markers of bone formation, osteocalcin (defined in serum or plasma); isoenzyme of alkaline phosphatase — bone alkaline phosphatase (defined in serum or plasma); C- and N-terminal propeptide procollagen type 1 (determined in serum); — markers of bone resorption, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (determined in serum); products of degradation of collagen type 1 — cross-connections, pyridinolin and deoxypirydinolin in urine, structurally closely related so-called cross-linking C- and M-terminal telopeptides of collagen type 1 (determined in serum or plasma, and in urine); hydroxyprolin in urine — a product of collagen degradation (determined in urine).

Osteocalcin (OC) is synthesized by osteoblasts and is the most common noncollagen protein of bone matrix that is specific to bone and dentin. OC is considered as one of the most informative biochemical markers of bone formation and speed of «bone turnover». The function of osteocalcin is not fully understood, but found that the chemical structure allows it to interact with hydroxyapatite. In ontogenesis OC appears simultaneously with the start of bone mineralization. OC includes in the extracellular matrix of bone tissue. It was shown that it appears in blood as a result of secretion from bone cells, and not the breakdown of bone matrix. OC level in serum correlates with the formation of bones that has been proved via histomorphometry and study of kinetics of calcium metabolism. OS level increases with age, rising slightly at the age of 5–6 years and reaches its maximum for girls of 11–12 years old, boys — of 13–14 years, which corresponds to the maximum rate of body length at this age. After the growth jump its rate decreases. There is evidence that girls reach adult values of OS earlier than boys. The content of OS in children is more related with the speed of growth than the biological age.

It was established that during the growth bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP) is slightly increased in 5–6 years old children and reaches its peak in 11–12 years for girls, for boys — up to 13–14 years. Its level is reduced in late puberty and till 12 years girls have BAP level slightly higher than boys, and then it drops sharply, which is probably due to the growth of estrogen on the background of puberty and reduced bone formation activity.

Estimation of BAP dynamics is important to characterize bone mineral density. A direct correlation dependence between the BAP activity and ionized blood calcium, that is coordinated with the data on participation of BAP in mineralization of bone matrix. There is evidence that the level of BAP in girls at puberty is in 10 times higher than in women of reproductive age.

Osteoclasts secrete a mixture of acid and neutral proteases, which digest collagen fibers sequentially and in blood-stream gets molecular fragments containing pipyridinolin. Original fragments, produced by osteoclasts are further splitting in the liver and kidneys to low molecular weight compounds and excreted by the urine. Carboxy Terminal (C-terminal telopeptides) of human collagen type 1 are the most informative markers of bone resorption. Since collagen forms the basis of the organic matrix of bone, the content of telopeptide in the serum and urine can accurately describe the process of bone resorption. It was established that telopeptide concentration tends to increase with the age of the child. There have been a relative increase of C-terminal telopeptide during the growth jump (5–6
years) and a significant rise during puberty. During puberty, are observed two peaks of rise of biochemical markers in serum. The first corresponds to the age of 11–12 years and is associated with the highest rate of growth of the skeleton in girls, the second — 13–14 years and is caused by an increase of body length in boys. Received data showed a high rate of remodeling that is a metabolic state of the organism, when intensive are both processes formation and bone resorption.

Despite the recent scientific advances in early diagnosis of osteoporosis in Ukraine, especially in pediatric patients, this question, unfortunately, is still problematic, which is primarily due of the lack in pediatric health care facilities of densitometers, equipped with special programs for estimation of children’s bone density; national standards; laboratory equipment and reagents for the determination of markers of bone metabolism.
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